

Conformal mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime

Anton Galajinsky

*Laboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University,
634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation
E-mail: galajin@mph.phtd.tpu.ru*

Abstract

Conformal many-body mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime is studied within the framework of the Lagrangian formalism. Global symmetries and Noether charges are given in a form convenient for analyzing the flat space limit. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal extension is built and a new class on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models related to simple Lie algebras is presented. A decoupling similarity transformation on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quantum mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime is discussed.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Pb, 11.30.-j, 11.25.Hf

Keywords: conformal Newton-Hooke algebra, many-body mechanics, supersymmetry

1. Introduction

There are several reasons to be concerned about the conformal Newton-Hooke group and its dynamical realizations. On the one hand, quantum mechanics of particles interacting via a conformal potential in arbitrary dimension and confined in a harmonic trap has been extensively investigated over the last three decades (see e.g. [1]–[9] and references therein). This study is motivated by the desire to construct new exactly solvable many-body models in higher dimensions and explore novel correlations. However, the fact that the underlying kinematical group is the conformal Newton-Hooke group does not seem to be widely appreciated. On the other hand, recent proposals for a nonrelativistic version of the AdS/CFT correspondence [10, 11] stimulated a renewed interest in nonrelativistic conformal (super)algebras [12]–[21] (for related earlier studies see [22]–[27]). In this context it is natural to expect that many-body conformal mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime will provide new insight into the correspondence.

Non-relativistic conformal algebras can be constructed in two different ways. One can either minimally extend the Galilei algebra by generators of dilatations and special conformal transformations or consider non-relativistic contractions of the relativistic conformal algebra $so(d+1, 2)$. In the former case one arrives at the Schrödinger algebra [28, 29], while in the latter case the result is the conformal Galilei algebra (see e.g. [30]–[33]). As the conformal Galilei algebra implies vanishing mass, the Schrödinger algebra has a better prospect for quantum mechanical applications.

In the presence of a universal cosmological repulsion or attraction the Galilei algebra is replaced by the Newton-Hooke algebra [34] (see also related works [35]–[40]). The latter can be derived from the (anti) de Sitter algebra by a non-relativistic contraction [34] and reproduces the Galilei algebra in the limit when the cosmological constant tends to zero. Whereas in arbitrary dimension the Newton-Hooke algebra admits only one central charge, in $(2+1)$ -dimensions the second central charge is allowed which characterizes the exotic Newton-Hooke symmetry [38, 41, 42, 43]. Extensions of the Newton-Hooke algebra by extra vector generators and their dynamical realizations were discussed recently in [44, 45, 46]. In the context of non-relativistic strings and branes generalizations of the Newton-Hooke algebra were studied in [19, 47, 48, 49].

Conformal extension of the Newton-Hooke algebra was discussed in [30]. However, its dynamical realization in many-body mechanics as well as supersymmetric extension were unknown until quite recently [50].

The goal of this paper is to provide a systematic account of conformal many-body mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime. We begin in Sect. 2 with a brief review of conformal mechanics in flat space and the underlying Schrödinger group. Sect. 3 is devoted to the Lagrangian formulation of conformal mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime. The full list of global symmetries and the corresponding Noether charges are given. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal mechanics in flat space is discussed in Sect. 4 within the Lagrangian formalism. Global symmetries which form the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Schrödinger superalgebra are derived. Sect. 5 is focused on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime. Negative and positive values of a cosmo-

logical constant are treated separately. As shown below, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime is governed by a single scalar prepotential which is translation and rotation invariant and obeys a first order linear partial differential equation. In Sect. 6 we construct a novel class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ prepotentials which are related to simple Lie algebras. Structure relations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra are derived in Sect. 7 in the basis which is convenient for studying the flat space limit. It is shown that in the case of a positive cosmological constant the superalgebra is to be modified so as to take into account the spectrum of the energy operator. In Sect. 8 we discuss a decoupling transformation which facilitates the analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quantum mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime. We summarize our results and discuss possible further developments in Sect. 9.

Throughout the work Greek letters are reserved for space indices $\alpha = 1, \dots, d$. Latin letters label identical particles $i = 1, \dots, n$. Summation over repeated indices is understood.

2. Conformal mechanics in flat space

Conformal mechanics of n identical particles in a flat space of arbitrary dimension is governed by the action functional

$$S = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - V(x) \right). \quad (1)$$

For simplicity we set the mass of each particle to one. It is assumed that the potential $V(x)$ obeys the set of linear partial differential equations

$$\left(x_i^\alpha \partial_{\beta i} - x_i^\beta \partial_{\alpha i} \right) V(x) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{\alpha i} V(x) = 0, \quad x_i^\alpha \partial_{\alpha i} V(x) + 2V(x) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^\alpha}$. The first two constraints in (2) are needed to ensure the invariance of the action under space rotations, translations and Galilei boosts. The last restriction provides conformal invariance.

The full symmetry group of the model is the Schrödinger group [28, 29]. Apart from the conventional time translations, space translations, space rotations and Galilei boosts, it involves dilatations¹

$$\delta t = 2t\lambda, \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = \lambda x_i^\alpha, \quad (3)$$

and special conformal transformations

$$\delta t = 2\sigma t^2, \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = 2\sigma t x_i^\alpha. \quad (4)$$

¹Symmetry transformations which we consider in this work are of the form: $t' = t + \delta t(t)$, $x_i'^\alpha(t') = x_i^\alpha(t) + \delta x_i^\alpha(t, x(t))$. If the action functional $S = \int dt \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x})$ holds invariant under the transformation up to a total derivative, i.e. $\delta S = \int dt \left(\frac{dF}{dt} \right)$, then the conserved quantity is derived from the expression $\left[\delta x_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i^\alpha} - \delta t \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \right) - F \right]$ by discarding the parameter of the transformation.

Denoting the corresponding conserved charges by H , P^α , $M^{\alpha\beta}$, K^α , D and C , respectively, one readily gets

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + V(x), & P^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha, & M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta \dot{x}_i^\alpha, & K^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha - t P^\alpha, \\ D &= -\frac{1}{2} x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + t H, & C &= \frac{1}{2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha + 2t D - t^2 H. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Being rewritten in the Hamiltonian form, these functions form a representation of the Schrödinger algebra under the Poisson bracket [13].

3. Conformal mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime

In the presence of a universal cosmological repulsion or attraction the Schrödinger group is changed for the conformal Newton–Hooke group [30, 50]. The former follows from the latter in the limit when the cosmological constant tends to zero. In this Section we consider conformal many–body mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime, provide a complete account of its global symmetries and construct the corresponding conserved charges. Negative and positive values of a cosmological constant are treated separately.

3.1 *Negative cosmological constant*

In order to describe a universal cosmological attraction, one adds to the action functional (1) the extra harmonic potential

$$S = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - V(x) - \frac{1}{2R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right). \quad (6)$$

The corresponding coupling constant is proportional to the cosmological constant [34]–[40]. R is the radius of a parent anti de Sitter space.

The new term in the action alters the form of all symmetries considered in the previous section, but for time translations and space rotations. In particular, one uncovers space translations

$$\delta x_i^\alpha = a^\alpha \cos(t/R), \quad (7)$$

Newton–Hooke boosts

$$\delta x_i^\alpha = v^\alpha R \sin(t/R), \quad (8)$$

dilatations

$$\delta t = \lambda R \sin(2t/R), \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = \lambda x_i^\alpha \cos(2t/R), \quad (9)$$

and special conformal transformations

$$\delta t = -\sigma R^2 (\cos(2t/R) - 1), \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = \sigma x_i^\alpha R \sin(2t/R), \quad (10)$$

provided the constraints (2) hold. Application of the Noether theorem then yields the conserved charges

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + V(x) + \frac{1}{2R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha, & P^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cos(t/R) + \frac{1}{R} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \sin(t/R), \\
M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta \dot{x}_i^\alpha, & K^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \cos(t/R) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sin(t/R), \\
D &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cos(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2} H R \sin(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2R} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \sin(2t/R), \\
C &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \cos(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sin(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2} H R^2 (\cos(2t/R) - 1). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

We postpone calculation of the corresponding algebra to Sect. 7. For the moment it suffices to observe that in the limit when the cosmological constant tends to zero (i.e. $R \rightarrow \infty$) both the symmetries and the conserved charges transit smoothly to those in the previous section.

Note that the Newton-Hooke generators can also be obtained by exporting those of the Galilei algebra using the conformal correspondence with the free case [51]. It should also be mentioned that some authors tend to consider the Schrödinger algebra and the conformal Newton-Hooke algebra as one and the same thing written in different bases. For example, one can rewrite the functions (11) within the framework of the Hamiltonian formalism and set $t = 0$. This yields a representation of the conformal Newton-Hooke algebra under the Poisson bracket in which the oscillator potential entering the Hamiltonian coincides with the generator of special conformal transformations up to a (dimensionful) constant. However, passing from the Schrödinger algebra to the Newton-Hooke algebra in this manner would require a fundamental parameter of the dimension of length which is not at our disposal in a flat space. This situation resembles the conformal particle in one dimension studied in [52].

3.2 Positive cosmological constant

The case of a universal cosmological repulsion is treated in a similar fashion. One flips the sign of the last term in (6)

$$S = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - V(x) + \frac{1}{2R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right), \tag{12}$$

and then changes trigonometric functions in (7)–(10) by hyperbolic ones in a proper way. This yields translations

$$\delta x_i^\alpha = a^\alpha \cosh(t/R), \tag{13}$$

boosts

$$\delta x_i^\alpha = v^\alpha R \sinh(t/R), \tag{14}$$

dilatations

$$\delta t = \lambda R \sinh(2t/R), \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = \lambda x_i^\alpha \cosh(2t/R), \tag{15}$$

and special conformal transformations

$$\delta t = \sigma R^2 (\cosh(2t/R) - 1), \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = \sigma x_i^\alpha R \sinh(2t/R). \quad (16)$$

Time translations and space rotations remain intact. As for the conserved charges, they take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + V(x) - \frac{1}{2R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha, & P^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) - \frac{1}{R} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \sinh(t/R), \\ M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta \dot{x}_i^\alpha, & K^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sinh(t/R), \\ D &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2} H R \sinh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2R} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \sinh(2t/R), \\ C &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sinh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2} H R^2 (\cosh(2t/R) - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Like in the previous subsection, it is readily verified that in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ both the symmetries and the conserved charges go over to the corresponding expressions in Sect. 2.

4. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal mechanics in flat space

We now discuss a supersymmetric extension of the conformal many-body mechanics in a flat space. First of all, one has to decide on the number of supersymmetries to be realized. For $\mathcal{N} = 1$ one has a free theory. Beyond $\mathcal{N} = 2$ it is problematic to reconcile supersymmetric interactions and translation invariance (see e.g. [53]) unless one introduces spin degrees of freedom. By these reasons in what follows we focus on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry.

In order to realize $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric extension of (1), one introduces fermionic degrees of freedom $\psi_i^\alpha, \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha$ which are complex conjugates of each other. A single prepotential $U(x)$ is then used to generate both the bosonic potential and the boson-fermion coupling

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \dot{\bar{\psi}}_i^\alpha \psi_i^\alpha + i \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \dot{\psi}_i^\alpha - \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) + 2 \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta j} U(x) \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta \right). \quad (18)$$

It is readily verified that this action holds invariant under transformations from the Schrödinger group² provided the prepotential $U(x)$ obeys the restrictions

$$\left(x_i^\alpha \partial_{\beta i} - x_i^\beta \partial_{\alpha i} \right) U(x) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) = 0, \quad x_i^\alpha \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) = Z, \quad (19)$$

where Z is a real constant. As above, the first two constraints are needed to provide the invariance of the action under space translations, Galilei boosts and rotations, while the

²The fermionic degrees of freedom transform as vectors under space rotations. They are intact under all other transformations from the Schrödinger group.

last one guarantees conformal invariance. Note that in the supersymmetric case the bosonic potential factorizes as

$$V_B(x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x). \quad (20)$$

That the latter is conformal is guaranteed by the rightmost equation in (19).

Apart from the Schrödinger group, one reveals the supersymmetry

$$\delta\psi_i^\alpha = \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\bar{\epsilon}, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha = \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\epsilon, \quad \delta x_i^\alpha = i\left(\psi_i^\alpha \epsilon + \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \bar{\epsilon}\right), \quad (21)$$

and the superconformal symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_i^\alpha &= -it\left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\bar{\kappa} + ix_i^\alpha \bar{\kappa}, & \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha &= it\left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\kappa - ix_i^\alpha \kappa, \\ \delta x_i^\alpha &= -t\left(\psi_i^\alpha \kappa - \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \bar{\kappa}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Besides, in the sector of the fermionic variables one finds the translations

$$\delta\psi_i^\alpha = \xi^\alpha, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha = \bar{\xi}^\alpha, \quad (23)$$

and the $U(1)$ -transformations

$$\delta\psi_i^\alpha = i\nu\psi_i^\alpha, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha = -i\nu\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha. \quad (24)$$

The associated conserved charges are constructed with the use of the Noether theorem³

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) - \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta j} U(x) \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta, & P^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha, \\ K^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha - tP^\alpha, & M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta \dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\left(\psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\beta - \psi_i^\beta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha\right), \\ D &= -\frac{1}{2} x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + tH, & C &= \frac{1}{2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha + 2tD - t^2 H, & J &= \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha, \\ S &= x_i^\alpha \psi_i^\alpha - tQ, & \bar{S} &= x_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha - t\bar{Q}, & L^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i^\alpha, & \bar{L}^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha, \\ Q &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\psi_i^\alpha, & \bar{Q} &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\partial_{\alpha i} U(x)\right)\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

³Symmetry transformations considered in this section are of the form: $t' = t + \delta t(t)$, $x_i'^\alpha(t') = x_i^\alpha(t) + \delta x_i^\alpha(t, x(t), \psi(t), \bar{\psi}(t))$, $\psi_i'^\alpha(t') = \psi_i^\alpha(t) + \delta\psi_i^\alpha(t, x(t), \psi(t), \bar{\psi}(t))$, $\bar{\psi}_i'^\alpha(t') = \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha(t) + \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha(t, x(t), \psi(t), \bar{\psi}(t))$. If the action functional holds invariant up to a total derivative, $\delta S = \int dt \left(\frac{dF}{dt} \right)$, the conserved quantity is derived from the quantity $\left[\delta x_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i^\alpha} + \delta\psi_i^\alpha \frac{\vec{\partial} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_i^\alpha} + \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \frac{\vec{\partial} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha} - \delta t \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i^\alpha} + \dot{\psi}_i^\alpha \frac{\vec{\partial} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_i^\alpha} + \dot{\bar{\psi}}_i^\alpha \frac{\vec{\partial} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \right) - F \right]$ by discarding the parameter of the transformation.

Here J corresponds to the $U(1)$ -transformation, $(S, \bar{S})$ are related to the superconformal transformations, $(L^\alpha, \bar{L}^\alpha)$ are linked to the translations in the fermionic sector, and $(Q, \bar{Q})$ correspond to the supersymmetry transformations. Being rewritten in the Hamiltonian form, these functions form a representation of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Schrödinger superalgebra under the Poisson bracket [9].

5. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime

Depending on the sign of a cosmological constant, the construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal many-body mechanics proceeds along different lines. It is relatively straightforward to extend the preceding analysis to the case of a negative cosmological constant. However, in a spacetime with a positive cosmological constant a conventional supersymmetric extension turns out to be problematic [38]. The problem connects to the difficulty to define conserved positive energy in the parent de Sitter space. In the latter case we are led to consider a modified superalgebra in which anticommutator of two supersymmetry generators yields the time translation generator plus the generators of special conformal transformations and the $U(1)$ -transformations. This issue will be discussed in more detail in Sect. 7.

5.1 Negative cosmological constant

The action functional of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in a spacetime with a negative cosmological constant is derived from (18) by adding oscillator potentials both for the bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \dot{\psi}_i^\alpha \psi_i^\alpha + i \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \dot{\psi}_i^\alpha - \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) - \frac{1}{R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha + 2 \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta j} U(x) \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta + \frac{2}{R} \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \right). \quad (26)$$

As above, it is assumed that the prepotential $U(x)$ obeys the restrictions (19).

It is straightforward to verify that this action is invariant under transformations from the conformal Newton–Hooke group⁴ realized as in Sect. 3.1. Also the $U(1)$ -transformation (24) maintains its form. As for symmetries with fermionic parameters, the supersymmetry transformation is slightly modified

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \psi_i^\alpha &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) - \frac{i}{R} x_i^\alpha \right) \bar{\epsilon}, & \delta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) + \frac{i}{R} x_i^\alpha \right) \epsilon, \\ \delta x_i^\alpha &= i \left(\psi_i^\alpha \epsilon + \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \bar{\epsilon} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

⁴The fermionic degrees of freedom transform as vectors under space rotations. They are intact under all other transformations from the conformal Newton–Hooke group.

The superconformal transformation acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_i^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}R\left(e^{-2it/R} - 1\right)\left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\partial_{\alpha i}U(x) - \frac{i}{R}x_i^\alpha\right)\bar{\kappa} + ie^{-2it/R}x_i^\alpha\bar{\kappa}, \\
\delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}R\left(e^{2it/R} - 1\right)\left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i\partial_{\alpha i}U(x) + \frac{i}{R}x_i^\alpha\right)\kappa - ie^{2it/R}x_i^\alpha\kappa, \\
\delta x_i^\alpha &= \frac{i}{2}R\left(e^{2it/R} - 1\right)\psi_i^\alpha\kappa + \frac{i}{2}R\left(e^{-2it/R} - 1\right)\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha\bar{\kappa},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

while translation in the fermionic sector now reads

$$\delta\psi_i^\alpha = e^{-it/R}\xi^\alpha, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha = e^{it/R}\bar{\xi}^\alpha. \tag{29}$$

Below in Sect. 7 we shall be concerned with structure relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra. The easiest way to establish them is to work in a specific representation. To this end, we display the Noether charges corresponding to the global symmetries given above

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_i^\alpha\dot{x}_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha i}U(x)\partial_{\alpha i}U(x) + \frac{1}{2R^2}x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha - \partial_{\alpha i}\partial_{\beta j}U(x)\psi_i^\alpha\bar{\psi}_j^\beta - \frac{1}{R}\psi_i^\alpha\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha, \\
P^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n\dot{x}_i^\alpha\right)\cos(t/R) + \frac{1}{R}\left(\sum_{i=1}^nx_i^\alpha\right)\sin(t/R), \\
K^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^nx_i^\alpha\right)\cos(t/R) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n\dot{x}_i^\alpha\right)R\sin(t/R), \\
M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha\dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\left(\psi_i^\alpha\bar{\psi}_i^\beta - \psi_i^\beta\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha\right), \\
D &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(x_i^\alpha\dot{x}_i^\alpha\right)\cos(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2}\left(H + \frac{1}{R}J\right)R\sin(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2R}\left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha\right)\sin(2t/R), \\
C &= \frac{1}{2}\left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha\right)\cos(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2}\left(x_i^\alpha\dot{x}_i^\alpha\right)R\sin(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2}\left(H + \frac{1}{R}J\right)R^2(\cos(2t/R) - 1), \\
Q &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i\partial_{\alpha i}U(x) + \frac{i}{R}x_i^\alpha\right)\psi_i^\alpha, \quad \bar{Q} = \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i\partial_{\alpha i}U(x) - \frac{i}{R}x_i^\alpha\right)\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha, \\
S &= \left(x_i^\alpha\psi_i^\alpha\right)e^{2it/R} + \frac{i}{2}QR\left(e^{2it/R} - 1\right), \quad \bar{S} = \left(x_i^\alpha\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha\right)e^{-2it/R} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{Q}R\left(e^{-2it/R} - 1\right), \\
L^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n\psi_i^\alpha\right)e^{it/R}, \quad \bar{L}^\alpha = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha\right)e^{-it/R}, \quad J = \psi_i^\alpha\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Note that in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ both the symmetries and the conserved charges fit to those of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in a flat space, as they should.

5.2 Positive cosmological constant

The action functional of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in a spacetime with a positive cosmological constant is obtained from (26) by reversing the sign of the oscillator potentials

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \dot{\bar{\psi}}_i^\alpha \psi_i^\alpha + i \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \dot{\psi}_i^\alpha - \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) + \frac{1}{R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha + 2 \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta j} U(x) \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta - \frac{2}{R} \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \right). \quad (31)$$

It holds invariant under the transformations from the conformal Newton–Hooke group⁵ considered in Sect. 3.2. The $U(1)$ –symmetry acting on fermions maintains its form (24).

Among transformations involving odd parameters one finds the supersymmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x_i^\alpha &= i \psi_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) - i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{-it/R} \epsilon + i \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) + i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{it/R} \bar{\epsilon}, \\ \delta \psi_i^\alpha &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \left(\cosh(t/R) + i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{it/R} \bar{\epsilon} - \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{R} x_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) - i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{it/R} \bar{\epsilon}, \\ \delta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \left(\cosh(t/R) - i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{-it/R} \epsilon + \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{R} x_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) + i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{-it/R} \epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

the superconformal transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x_i^\alpha &= -\psi_i^\alpha R \sinh(t/R) e^{-it/R} \kappa + \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha R \sinh(t/R) e^{it/R} \bar{\kappa}, \\ \delta \psi_i^\alpha &= -i \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) R \sinh(t/R) e^{it/R} \bar{\kappa} + i x_i^\alpha \cosh(t/R) e^{it/R} \bar{\kappa}, \\ \delta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha &= i \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) R \sinh(t/R) e^{-it/R} \kappa - i x_i^\alpha \cosh(t/R) e^{-it/R} \kappa, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and the translations

$$\delta \psi_i^\alpha = e^{it/R} \xi^\alpha, \quad \delta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha = e^{-it/R} \bar{\xi}^\alpha. \quad (34)$$

It is instructive to give the explicit form of the corresponding Noether charges

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) - \frac{1}{2R^2} x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha - \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta j} U(x) \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta + \frac{1}{R} \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha, \\ P^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) - \frac{1}{R} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \sinh(t/R), \\ K^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sinh(t/R), \\ M^{\alpha\beta} &= x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\beta - x_i^\beta \dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \left(\psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\beta - \psi_i^\beta \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \right), \end{aligned}$$

⁵Fermions are inert under all these transformations, but for rotations.

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(H - \frac{1}{R} J \right) R \sinh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2R} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \sinh(2t/R), \\
C &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(2t/R) - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha \right) R \sinh(2t/R) + \frac{1}{2} \left(H - \frac{1}{R} J \right) R^2 (\cosh(2t/R) - 1). \\
Q &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \psi_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) - i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{-it/R} + \\
&\quad + i \psi_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \frac{1}{R} \left(\cosh(t/R) + i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{-it/R}, \\
\bar{Q} &= \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \left(\cosh(t/R) + i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{it/R} - \\
&\quad - i \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha \frac{1}{R} \left(\cosh(t/R) - i \sinh(t/R) \right) e^{it/R}, \\
S &= \left(x_i^\alpha \psi_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) e^{-it/R} - \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha + i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \psi_i^\alpha R \sinh(t/R) e^{-it/R}, \\
\bar{S} &= \left(x_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \right) \cosh(t/R) e^{it/R} - \left(\dot{x}_i^\alpha - i \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \right) \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha R \sinh(t/R) e^{it/R}, \\
L^\alpha &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i^\alpha \right) e^{-it/R}, \quad \bar{L}^\alpha = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha \right) e^{it/R}, \quad J = \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

These will be used below in Sect. 7 when fixing structure relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra for the case of a positive cosmological constant. Like their cousins (30), these functions transit smoothly to the generators of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Schrödinger superalgebra (25) in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$.

6. Selected $\mathcal{N} = 2$ prepotentials

As we have seen above, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal many-body mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime is fully determined by a single prepotential obeying the restrictions (19). In this Section we discuss a special class of solutions to (19) which are related to root vectors of simple Lie algebras. We also extend the analysis in [21] and construct novel solutions which, after quantization, generate $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models with only boson-fermion couplings.

Let us first discuss the rightmost equation in (19). The general solution is a sum of a particular solution to the inhomogeneous equation and the general solution to the homogeneous equation. The latter is given by an arbitrary function of the coordinate ratios (x_i^α/x_j^β) . In this work, we narrow this wide class down to prepotentials of the special form

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} \ln(\alpha x, \alpha x). \tag{36}$$

In (36) the sum runs over a set of vectors $\{\alpha_i\}$, g_{α} are coupling constants, and $(\alpha x, \alpha x)$ is a shorthand for

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^d \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\alpha_i x_i^\beta) (\alpha_j x_j^\beta). \tag{37}$$

Constraints (19) then yield

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0, \quad \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} = Z. \quad (38)$$

If one is concerned with systems of identical particles, then in addition to (38) the set $\{\alpha\}$ is to be permutation invariant and the couplings are to be identified in a proper way.

Interesting patterns of this kind are provided by root vectors of some simple Lie algebras. First consider $A_{(n-1)}$ with $\{\alpha\} = \{(1, -1, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ (plus permutations)}\}$ and couplings being all equal. The prepotential

$$U(x) = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{i < j}^n \ln(x_{ij}, x_{ij}), \quad (39)$$

where $x_{ij}^{\alpha} = x_i^{\alpha} - x_j^{\alpha}$, yields the bosonic potential in accord with (20)

$$V_{A_{(n-1)}} = g^2 \left(\sum_{i < j}^n \frac{1}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})} + \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k}^n \frac{(x_{ij}, x_{ik})}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})(x_{ik}, x_{ik})} \right). \quad (40)$$

This is the model of Calogero and Marchioro [1] in which one disregards the pairwise harmonic interaction and identifies the couplings which control the two-body and three-body interactions⁶.

Our second example is a three-body model based on $\{\alpha\} = \{(1, -1, 0) \text{ (plus permutations)}\}$ and $\{\beta\} = \{(1, 1, -2) \text{ (plus permutations)}\}$ which are the short and the long root vectors of G_2 . In this case two independent couplings may enter the prepotential

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(g_S \sum_{i < j}^3 \ln(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) + g_L \sum_{k \neq i, k \neq j, i < j}^3 \ln(x_{ijk}, x_{ijk}) \right), \quad (41)$$

where $x_{ijk}^{\alpha} = x_i^{\alpha} + x_j^{\alpha} - 2x_k^{\alpha}$, which gives rise to the bosonic potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_{G_2} = & g_S^2 \left(\sum_{i < j}^3 \frac{1}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})} + \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k}^3 \frac{(x_{ij}, x_{ik})}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})(x_{ik}, x_{ik})} \right) + 3g_S g_L \sum_{k \neq i \neq j}^3 \frac{(x_{kij}, x_{ij})}{(x_{kij}, x_{kij})(x_{ij}, x_{ij})} \\ & + 3g_L^2 \left(\sum_{k \neq i, k \neq j, i < j}^3 \frac{1}{(x_{ijk}, x_{ijk})} - \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k}^3 \frac{(x_{ijk}, x_{ikj})}{(x_{ijk}, x_{ijk})(x_{ikj}, x_{ikj})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

This can be viewed as a multidimensional generalization of the model studied by Wolfes in [55].

In some instances in order to conform to the leftmost constraint in (38), one has to extract a reasonable subset from the full set of root vectors of a simple Lie algebra. For

⁶The identification of couplings is typical for superconformal mechanics (see e.g. the discussion in [54]).

example, in the case of F_4 one can take $\{\alpha\} = \{(1, -1, 0, 0) \text{ (plus permutations)}\}$ and $\{\beta\} = \{(1, 1, -1, -1) \text{ (plus permutations)}\}$ and form the prepotential

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(g_S \sum_{i < j}^4 \ln(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) + g_L \sum_{i < j, i < k, k < l}^4 \ln(x_{ijkl}, x_{ijkl}) \right), \quad (43)$$

where $x_{ijkl}^\alpha = x_i^\alpha + x_j^\alpha - x_k^\alpha - x_l^\alpha$. This yields the bosonic potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_{F_4} = & g_S^2 \left(\sum_{i < j}^4 \frac{1}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})} + \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k}^4 \frac{(x_{ij}, x_{ik})}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})(x_{ik}, x_{ik})} \right) + 2g_L^2 \sum_{i < j, k < l, i \neq k}^4 \frac{1}{(x_{ijkl}, x_{ijkl})} + \\ & + 2g_S g_L \sum_{k \neq l \neq i \neq j, i < j}^4 \frac{(x_{ij}, x_{iklj})}{(x_{ij}, x_{ij})(x_{iklj}, x_{iklj})}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

which is a generalization of Wolfes' four-body model [56] to arbitrary dimension.

When constructing quantum theory, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ fermions $\psi_i^\alpha, \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha$ are conventionally realized as creation and annihilation operators acting in the Fock space. Symmetric ordering in the sector of fermionic variables then yields a quantum correction to the classical bosonic potential [21] (see also Sect. 7)

$$V_B = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\alpha i} U(x) \partial_{\alpha i} U(x) + \hbar \partial_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} U(x)). \quad (45)$$

A special class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models arises if one takes the prepotential in the form

$$U(x) = \hbar \ln G(x), \quad (46)$$

where the function $G(x)$ obeys Laplace's equation and the homogeneity condition

$$\Delta G(x) = 0, \quad x_i^\alpha \partial_{\alpha i} G(x) = \frac{Z}{\hbar} G(x). \quad (47)$$

It is assumed that $G(x)$ is invariant under translations and rotations. Obviously, in this case $V_B = 0$ and the resulting system has only boson-fermion couplings. Furthermore, choosing $G(x)$ to be a homogeneous polynomial of degree l , i.e. $x_i^\alpha \partial_{\alpha i} G(x) = l G(x)$, one arrives at a class of models for which Z is naturally quantized $Z = \hbar l$.

In Ref. [21] particular solutions to (47) were constructed. We conclude this Section by providing two new examples of this kind which are linked to G_2 and F_4 . Our starting point is the homogeneous polynomial of degree l which involves two sets of vectors $\{\alpha\}$ and $\{\beta\}$

$$G(x) = \sum_{\beta} (\beta x, \beta x)^{\frac{l}{2}} + \lambda \sum_{\alpha} (\alpha x, \alpha x)^{\frac{l}{2}}. \quad (48)$$

Here λ is a real constant to be fixed below. Consider first the short and the long positive root vectors of G_2 : $\{\alpha\} = \{(1, -1, 0), (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)\}$, $\{\beta\} = \{(1, 1, -2), (1, -2, 1), (2, -1, -1)\}$. Taking into account the identity

$$\sum_{\beta} (\beta x, \beta x)^2 = 9 \sum_{\alpha} (\alpha x, \alpha x)^2, \quad (49)$$

one can readily verify that the ansatz (48) solves Laplace's equation provided

$$l = 6, \quad \lambda = -27. \quad (50)$$

Note that this choice of the parameters does yield a nontrivial three-body model with a non-vanishing $G(x)$. One could try to construct a similar solution using a polynomial of the fourth order and the identity $\sum_{\beta} (\beta x, \beta x) = 3 \sum_{\alpha} (\alpha x, \alpha x)$. However, the latter leads to the trivial solution $G(x) = 0$.

Our last example is based on the subset of the positive root vectors of F_4 : $\{\alpha\} = \{(1, -1, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1, 0), (1, 0, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1, 0), (0, 1, 0, -1), (0, 0, 1, -1)\}$ and $\{\beta\} = \{(1, 1, -1, -1), (1, -1, 1, -1), (1, -1, -1, 1)\}$. In this case the key identity involving the short and long root vectors reads

$$\sum_{\beta} (\beta x, \beta x) = \sum_{\alpha} (\alpha x, \alpha x). \quad (51)$$

It prompts us to try the ansatz (48) with $l = 4$. Laplace's equation then determines $\lambda = -2$.

7. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra

In this Section we establish structure relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra. To this end, we consider quantum mechanical representation of the conserved charges given in Sect. 5 and calculate their (anti)commutators. Constraints (19) on the prepotential should be remembered. For the bosonic operators the standard coordinate representation is chosen

$$[x_i^\alpha, p_j^\beta] = i\hbar \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij}, \quad (52)$$

with $p_i^\alpha = -i\hbar \partial_{\alpha i}$. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ fermions are realized as creation–annihilation operators acting in the Fock space⁷

$$\{\psi_i^\alpha, \bar{\psi}_j^\beta\} = \hbar \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij}, \quad (53)$$

with $(\psi_i^\alpha)^\dagger = \bar{\psi}_i^\alpha$. In order to get a closed algebra after quantization one has to use the Weyl ordering. Given the functions (30) and (35), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\alpha \dot{x}_i^\alpha &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (x_i^\alpha p_i^\alpha + p_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha), \\ \psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\psi_i^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^\beta - \bar{\psi}_j^\beta \psi_i^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

⁷When analyzing the models (26) and (31) within the Hamiltonian formalism, one finds fermionic second class constraints. Introducing the Dirac bracket and resolving the constraints one can remove momenta canonically conjugate to the configuration space variables ψ_i^α and $\bar{\psi}_i^\alpha$. This leads one to the bracket (53).

where the rightmost expressions involve quantum operators obeying the (anti)commutation relations (52) and (53). Now we are in a position to compute structure relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra.

7.1 Negative cosmological constant

In order to avoid the appearance of a fictitious central charge in structure relations of the superalgebra, we shift the Hamiltonian H and the $U(1)$ generator J considered in Sect. 5.1 by a constant Z from (19)

$$H \rightarrow H + \frac{Z}{R}, \quad J \rightarrow J - Z, \quad (55)$$

such that the combination $H + \frac{1}{R}J$ entering D and C is intact. Then a straightforward calculation yields (vanishing (anti)commutators are omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q, \bar{Q}\} &= 2\hbar H, & \{Q, \bar{S}\} &= -2\hbar D - i\hbar J + \frac{2i\hbar}{R}C, \\ \{S, \bar{S}\} &= 2\hbar C, & \{\bar{Q}, S\} &= -2\hbar D + i\hbar J - \frac{2i\hbar}{R}C, \\ \{Q, \bar{L}^\alpha\} &= \hbar(P^\alpha + \frac{i}{R}K^\alpha), & \{\bar{Q}, L^\alpha\} &= \hbar(P^\alpha - \frac{i}{R}K^\alpha), \\ \{S, \bar{L}^\alpha\} &= \hbar K^\alpha, & \{\bar{S}, L^\alpha\} &= \hbar K^\alpha, \\ \{L^\alpha, \bar{L}^\beta\} &= \hbar\delta^{\alpha\beta}M_1, & [P^\alpha, K^\beta] &= -i\hbar\delta^{\alpha\beta}M, \\ [D, Q] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2}Q - \frac{\hbar}{R}S, & [D, \bar{Q}] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2}\bar{Q} + \frac{\hbar}{R}\bar{S}, \\ [C, Q] &= i\hbar S, & [C, \bar{Q}] &= i\hbar\bar{S}, \\ [J, Q] &= \hbar Q, & [J, \bar{Q}] &= -\hbar\bar{Q}, \\ [Q, P^\alpha] &= -\frac{\hbar}{R}L^\alpha, & [\bar{Q}, P^\alpha] &= \frac{\hbar}{R}\bar{L}^\alpha, \\ [Q, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar L^\alpha, & [\bar{Q}, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar\bar{L}^\alpha, \\ [D, S] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2}S, & [D, \bar{S}] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2}\bar{S}, \\ [H, S] &= -i\hbar(Q - \frac{2i}{R}S), & [H, \bar{S}] &= -i\hbar(\bar{Q} + \frac{2i}{R}\bar{S}), \\ [J, S] &= \hbar S, & [J, \bar{S}] &= -\hbar\bar{S}, \\ [S, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar L^\alpha, & [\bar{S}, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar\bar{L}^\alpha, \\ [J, L^\alpha] &= \hbar L^\alpha, & [J, \bar{L}^\alpha] &= -\hbar\bar{L}^\alpha, \\ [H, L^\alpha] &= -\frac{\hbar}{R}L^\alpha, & [H, \bar{L}^\alpha] &= \frac{\hbar}{R}\bar{L}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, P^\alpha] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2}P^\alpha, & [C, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar K^\alpha, \\
[H, P^\alpha] &= \frac{i\hbar}{R^2}K^\alpha, & [H, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar P^\alpha, \\
[D, K^\alpha] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2}K^\alpha, & [H, D] &= i\hbar(H + \frac{1}{R}J - \frac{2}{R^2}C), \\
[H, C] &= 2i\hbar D, & [D, C] &= i\hbar C, \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, P^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}P^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma}P^\alpha), & [M^{\alpha\beta}, K^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}K^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma}K^\alpha), \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, L^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}L^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma}L^\alpha), & [M^{\alpha\beta}, \bar{L}^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}\bar{L}^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma}\bar{L}^\alpha), \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\gamma\delta}] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}M^{\beta\delta} + \delta^{\beta\delta}M^{\alpha\gamma} - \\
&\quad - \delta^{\beta\gamma}M^{\alpha\delta} - \delta^{\alpha\delta}M^{\beta\gamma}). & &
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Above M and M_1 are central charges⁸. This superalgebra differs from the $N = 2$ Schrödinger superalgebra [9] only by contributions which explicitly involve the factors $\frac{1}{R}$ and $\frac{1}{R^2}$. In the flat space limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ they disappear and the two superalgebras merge, as they should.

7.2 Positive cosmological constant

For the case of a positive cosmological constant we also shift the Hamiltonian and the $U(1)$ generator defined in Sect. 5.2 by a constant Z

$$H \rightarrow H - \frac{Z}{R}, \quad J \rightarrow J - Z. \tag{57}$$

Note that $H - \frac{1}{R}J$ which appears in D and C does not feel the change. Then we take into account the constraints (19) and calculate the structure relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q, \bar{Q}\} &= 2\hbar(H - \frac{2}{R}J + \frac{2}{R^2}C), & \{Q, \bar{S}\} &= -2\hbar D - i\hbar J + \frac{2i\hbar}{R}C, \\
\{S, \bar{S}\} &= 2\hbar C, & \{\bar{Q}, S\} &= -2\hbar D + i\hbar J - \frac{2i\hbar}{R}C, \\
\{Q, \bar{L}^\alpha\} &= \hbar(P^\alpha + \frac{i}{R}K^\alpha), & \{\bar{Q}, L^\alpha\} &= \hbar(P^\alpha - \frac{i}{R}K^\alpha), \\
\{S, \bar{L}^\alpha\} &= \hbar K^\alpha, & \{\bar{S}, L^\alpha\} &= \hbar K^\alpha, \\
\{L^\alpha, \bar{L}^\beta\} &= \hbar\delta^{\alpha\beta}M_1, & [P^\alpha, K^\beta] &= -i\hbar\delta^{\alpha\beta}M, \\
[H, Q] &= \frac{2\hbar}{R}(Q - \frac{i}{R}S), & [H, \bar{Q}] &= -\frac{2\hbar}{R}(\bar{Q} + \frac{i}{R}\bar{S}), \\
[D, Q] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2}Q - \frac{\hbar}{R}S, & [D, \bar{Q}] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2}\bar{Q} + \frac{\hbar}{R}\bar{S}, \\
[C, Q] &= i\hbar S, & [C, \bar{Q}] &= i\hbar \bar{S},
\end{aligned}$$

⁸For the particular representation considered in Sect. 5.1 the central charges read $M = M_1 = n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
[J, Q] &= \hbar Q, & [J, \bar{Q}] &= -\hbar \bar{Q}, \\
[Q, P^\alpha] &= -\frac{\hbar}{R} L^\alpha, & [\bar{Q}, P^\alpha] &= \frac{\hbar}{R} \bar{L}^\alpha, \\
[Q, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar L^\alpha, & [\bar{Q}, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar \bar{L}^\alpha, \\
[D, S] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} S, & [D, \bar{S}] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \bar{S}, \\
[H, S] &= -i\hbar Q, & [H, \bar{S}] &= -i\hbar \bar{Q}, \\
[J, S] &= \hbar S, & [J, \bar{S}] &= -\hbar \bar{S}, \\
[S, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar L^\alpha, & [\bar{S}, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar \bar{L}^\alpha, \\
[J, L^\alpha] &= \hbar L^\alpha, & [J, \bar{L}^\alpha] &= -\hbar \bar{L}^\alpha, \\
[H, L^\alpha] &= \frac{\hbar}{R} L^\alpha, & [H, \bar{L}^\alpha] &= -\frac{\hbar}{R} \bar{L}^\alpha, \\
[D, P^\alpha] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2} P^\alpha, & [C, P^\alpha] &= i\hbar K^\alpha, \\
[H, P^\alpha] &= -\frac{i\hbar}{R^2} K^\alpha, & [H, K^\alpha] &= -i\hbar P^\alpha, \\
[D, K^\alpha] &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} K^\alpha, & [H, D] &= i\hbar(H - \frac{1}{R}J + \frac{2}{R^2}C), \\
[H, C] &= 2i\hbar D, & [D, C] &= i\hbar C, \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, P^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma} P^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma} P^\alpha), & [M^{\alpha\beta}, K^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma} K^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma} K^\alpha), \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, L^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma} L^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma} L^\alpha), & [M^{\alpha\beta}, \bar{L}^\gamma] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma} \bar{L}^\beta - \delta^{\beta\gamma} \bar{L}^\alpha), \\
[M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\gamma\delta}] &= i\hbar(\delta^{\alpha\gamma} M^{\beta\delta} + \delta^{\beta\delta} M^{\alpha\gamma} - & & \\
&\quad - \delta^{\beta\gamma} M^{\alpha\delta} - \delta^{\alpha\delta} M^{\beta\gamma}), & &
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where M and M_1 are central charges⁹.

A few comments are in order. Firstly, as compared to the previous case, only the (anti)commutators $\{Q, \bar{Q}\}$, $[H, Q]$, $[H, S]$, $[H, L^\alpha]$, $[H, D]$, $[H, P^\alpha]$ and their Hermitian conjugates are altered. Most notable are the changes in $\{Q, \bar{Q}\}$, $[H, Q]$ and $[H, \bar{Q}]$ which modify the standard $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra. As was mentioned in the Introduction, this modification is necessary in order to reconcile the fact that the spectrum of the original Hamiltonian is not bounded from below, while $\{Q, \bar{Q}\}$ is a positive definite operator. Note that the modification essentially uses the dimensionful constant R which is available only in Newton–Hooke spacetime. Secondly, the appearance of the special conformal generator C on the right-hand side of $\{Q, \bar{Q}\}$ resembles a modification of the Hamiltonian of a single conformal particle in one dimension proposed in [52]. In that framework it was used to cure the ground state problem of the conformal particle. Thirdly, in the flat space limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ the superalgebra

⁹For the particular representation considered in Sect. 5.2 the central charges are $M = M_1 = n$.

correctly reproduces the $N = 2$ Schrödinger superalgebra.

8. Decoupling transformation on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime

We conclude this work by briefly discussing a decoupling transformation on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quantum mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime. Such a transformation was originally proposed for the Calogero model in one dimension [57] (see also related works [58, 59]). This model involves a conformal potential describing pairwise interaction of identical particles and the harmonic potential [60]. A similarity transformation in [57, 59] relates it to a set of decoupled oscillators. It offers a simple and straightforward method of building the complete set of eigenstates [59] and provides an efficient means for constructing various $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ superconformal many-body models in one dimension [57, 61]. An elegant geometric interpretation of the similarity transformation as the inversion of the Klein model of the Lobachevsky plane was proposed in [62, 63]. It is amazing that the existence of such a transformation was anticipated by Calogero in his original work [60].

First let us treat the case of a negative cosmological constant. A key ingredient of the construction is the conformal algebra $so(1, 2)$

$$[H_0, C_0] = 2i\hbar D_0, \quad [H_0, D_0] = i\hbar H_0, \quad [D_0, C_0] = i\hbar C_0, \quad (59)$$

and its representation on a set of decoupled particles

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}p_i^\alpha p_i^\alpha, \quad D_0 = -\frac{1}{4}\left(x_i^\alpha p_i^\alpha + p_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha\right), \quad C_0 = \frac{1}{2}x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha. \quad (60)$$

As the first step one considers an automorphism of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra

$$T \rightarrow T' = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}A} T e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}A} = T + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^n \underbrace{[A, [A, \dots [A, T] \dots]]}_{n \text{ times}}, \quad (61)$$

generated by a specific linear combination of the operators H , J , D_0 and C_0

$$A = \frac{iR}{2}\left(H + \frac{1}{R}J\right) - \frac{i}{R}C_0 - D_0. \quad (62)$$

Here H and J are realized as in Sect. 7.1. The number coefficients in (62) are adjusted so as to terminate the series in the Baker–Hausdorff formula at a final step¹⁰. In particular, for the Hamiltonian H this gives

$$H' = \frac{2}{R^2}C_0 - \frac{2i}{R}D_0 - \frac{1}{R}J. \quad (63)$$

¹⁰To be more precise, one takes A to be a linear combination of H , D_0 , C_0 and J with arbitrary coefficients. Then one demands the series in (61) to terminate at most at the third step. This fixes two coefficients in terms of the others. Then one requires the generator H to disappear from H' which determines one more coefficient. In general this procedure leaves one coefficients in the transformation operator A arbitrary. The particular choice (62) is taken for calculational simplicity.

That the interacting Hamiltonian is mapped to the linear combination of C_0 , D_0 and J prompts one to try a similar transformation involving H_0 , D_0 , C_0 and J

$$T' \rightarrow T'' = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}B} T' e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}B}, \quad B = -\frac{iR}{2}(H_0 + \frac{1}{R}J) + \frac{i}{2R}C_0 + D_0. \quad (64)$$

It turns out that such a choice of B again terminates the infinite series at a final step and yields

$$H'' = H_0 + \frac{1}{2R^2}x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{R}J. \quad (65)$$

This is the original Hamiltonian H in which the conformal potential is discarded. Thus with the use of the operator $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}B}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}A}$ one can map the Hamiltonian of a generic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime to that of decoupled (super)oscillators. An immediate corollary is that the energy eigenvalues are the same in both the pictures. Another corollary is that the original many–body model is quantum integrable.

The case of a positive cosmological constant is treated along similar lines. First one considers a similarity transformation generated by

$$A = \frac{R}{2}(H - \frac{1}{R}J) + \frac{1}{R}C_0 - D_0, \quad (66)$$

where H and J are defined as in Sect. 7.2. Being applied to the Hamiltonian, this yields

$$H' = -\frac{2}{R^2}C_0 + \frac{2}{R}D_0 + \frac{1}{R}J. \quad (67)$$

Then the second map which involves

$$B = -\frac{R}{2}(H_0 - \frac{1}{R}J) - \frac{1}{2R}C_0 + D_0 \quad (68)$$

provides the desired transformation

$$H'' = H_0 - \frac{1}{2R^2}x_i^\alpha x_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{R}J. \quad (69)$$

In this work we do not consider how other operators which form a representation of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra transform under the similarity transformation. Suffice it to mention they will acquire nonlocal contributions involving the conformal potential. Thus the simplification in the dynamics is achieved at the price of a nonlocal realization of the full $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra in a Hilbert space.

9. Conclusion

To summarize, in this work we have systematically studied conformal many–body mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime. Constraints imposed on the interaction potential by

the conformal Newton–Hooke symmetry were found. The Lagrangian, its global symmetries and the conserved charges were given in a form convenient for analyzing the flat space limit. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal extension was discussed in detail. It was shown that in the case of a positive cosmological constant one has to modify the conventional superalgebra. Structure relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra were given in the form in which the flat space limit is unambiguous. A new class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models in arbitrary dimension which is related to root vectors of simple Lie algebras was presented. Automorphisms of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Newton–Hooke algebra generated by the conformal algebra $\text{so}(1,2)$ were studied. A similarity transformation which allows one to establish the structure of the energy spectrum was proposed.

Let us mention a few possible developments of this work. First of all, it is interesting to generalize the present analysis to the case of spinning particles in Newton–Hooke spacetime. Then it is worth studying larger (super)conformal Newton–Hooke algebras, including an infinite dimensional one, and their dynamical realizations. As was demonstrated in [64, 65] the whole motion of a free particle can be associated to a half-period of the harmonic oscillator via a specific local diffeomorphism. With the use of this transformation one can construct wave function of the oscillator starting from that of a free particle. A possibility to generalize the transformation in [64, 65] to the case of many-body conformal mechanics in the harmonic trap is an interesting open problem. Finally, it is tempting to construct an off-shell superfield formulation for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mechanics in Newton–Hooke spacetime.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Dynasty Foundation and RFBR grant 09-02-00078.

References

- [1] F. Calogero, C. Marchioro, J. Math. Phys. **14** (1973) 182.
- [2] P. Gambardella, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975) 1172.
- [3] N.F. Johnson, L. Quiroga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 4277.
- [4] A. Khare, K. Ray, Phys. Lett. A **230** (1997) 139, hep-th/9609025.
- [5] G. Date, P.K. Ghosh, M. Murthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 3051.
- [6] R.K. Ghosh, S. Rao, Phys. Lett. A **238** (1998) 213, hep-th/9705141.
- [7] P.K. Ghosh, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 5583, hep-th/0009055.
- [8] S. Meljanac, A. Samsarov, Phys. Lett. B **613** (2005) 221, hep-th/0503174.
- [9] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, Phys. Lett. B **675** (2009) 116, arXiv:0902.2910.

- [10] D.T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 046003, arXiv:0804.3972.
- [11] K. Balasubramanian, J. McGreevy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 061601, arXiv:0804.4053.
- [12] M. Sakaguchi, K. Yoshida, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 102302, arXiv:0805.2661.
- [13] A. Galajinsky, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 087701, arXiv:0808.1553.
- [14] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, JHEP **0907** (2009) 037, arXiv:0902.1385.
- [15] M. Alishahiha, A. Davody, A. Vahedi, JHEP **0908** (2009) 022, arXiv:0903.3953.
- [16] D. Martelli, Y. Tachikawa, *Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization*, arXiv:0903.5184.
- [17] C. Duval, P.A. Horváthy, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 465206, arXiv:0904.0531.
- [18] J.A. de Azcarraga, J. Lukierski, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 411, arXiv:0905.0141.
- [19] M. Sakaguchi, *Super Galilean conformal algebra in AdS/CFT*, arXiv:0905.0188.
- [20] A. Bagchi, I. Mandal, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 086011, arXiv:0905.0580.
- [21] A. Galajinsky, O. Lechtenfeld, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 065012, arXiv:0907.2242.
- [22] J. Beckers, D. Dehin, V. Hussin, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 1137.
- [23] J. Gauntlett, J. Gomis, P. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **248** (1990) 288.
- [24] C. Duval, G. Gibbons, P. Horváthy, Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) 3907, hep-th/0512188.
- [25] C. Duval, P.A. Horváthy, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 2516, hep-th/0508079.
- [26] P.A. Horváthy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** (1993) 339, arXiv:0807.0513.
- [27] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Nucl. Phys. B **746** (2006) 155, math-ph/0512024.
- [28] U. Niederer, Helv. Phys. Acta **45** (1972) 802.
- [29] C.R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. D **5** (1972) 377.
- [30] J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo, A. Rodriguez-Marco, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 3786; *ibid.* **38** (1997) 3810.
- [31] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Nucl. Phys. B **660** (2003) 407, hep-th/0302187.
- [32] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Phys. Lett. A **357** (2006) 1, hep-th/0511259.

- [33] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Phys. Lett. B **650** (2007) 203, hep-th/0702179.
- [34] H. Bacry, J.M. Lévy-Leblond, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968) 1605.
- [35] J. Derome, J. Dubois, Nuovo Cim. B **9** (1972) 351.
- [36] J. Dubois, Nuovo Cim. B **15** (1973) 1.
- [37] R. Aldrovandi, A.L. Barbosa, L.C.B. Crispino, J.G. Pereira, Class. Quant. Grav. **16** (1999) 495, gr-qc/9801100.
- [38] Yi-hong Gao, *Symmetries, matrices, and de Sitter gravity*, hep-th/0107067.
- [39] G.W. Gibbons, C.E. Patricot, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 5225, hep-th/0308200.
- [40] Yu Tian, Han-Ying Guo, Chao-Guang Huang, Zhan Xu, Bin Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 044030, hep-th/0411004.
- [41] O. Arratia, M.A. Martin, M.A. Olmo, *Classical systems and representations of $(2+1)$ Newton-Hooke symmetries*, math-ph/9903013.
- [42] P.D. Alvarez, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, M.S. Plyushchay, Annals Phys. **322** (2007) 1556, hep-th/0702014; Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 906, arXiv:0711.2644.
- [43] P. Alvarez, J. Cortés, P. Horváthy, M.S. Plyushchay, JHEP **03** (2009) 034, arXiv:0901.1021.
- [44] J. Lukierski, P. Stichel, W. Zakrzewski, Eur. Phys. J. C **55** (2008) 119, arXiv:0710.3093.
- [45] J. Gomis, J. Lukierski, Phys. Lett. B **664** (2008) 107, arXiv:0803.3965.
- [46] F.L. Liu, Y. Tian, Phys. Lett. A **372** (2008) 6041, arXiv:0806.1310.
- [47] J. Gomis, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, JHEP **0512** (2005) 024, hep-th/0507036.
- [48] J. Bruges, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 085011, hep-th/0603023.
- [49] M. Sakaguchi, K. Yoshida, JHEP **0610** (2006) 078, hep-th/0605124.
- [50] A. Galajinsky, Phys. Lett. B **680** (2009) 510, arXiv:0906.5509.
- [51] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy, L. Palla, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 6658, hep-ph/9405229.
- [52] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, Nuovo Cim. A **34** (1976) 569.
- [53] S. Bellucci, A.V. Galajinsky, E. Latini, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 044023, hep-th/0411232.
- [54] A. Galajinsky, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 044014, arXiv:0806.1629.

- [55] J. Wolfes, J. Math. Phys. **15** (1974) 1420.
- [56] J. Wolfes, Annals of Phys. **85** (1974) 454.
- [57] A. Galajinsky, O. Lechtenfeld, K. Polovnikov, Phys. Lett. B **643** (2006) 221, hep-th/0607215.
- [58] T. Brzeziński, C. Gonera, P. Maślanka, Phys. Lett. A **254** (1999) 185, hep-th/9810176.
- [59] N. Gurappa, P.K. Panigrahi, Phys. Rev. B **59** (1999) R2490.
- [60] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 419.
- [61] A. Galajinsky, O. Lechtenfeld, K. Polovnikov, JHEP **0711** (2007) 008, arXiv:0708.1075; *ibid.* **0903** (2009) 113, arXiv:0802.4386.
- [62] T. Hakobyan, A. Nersessian, Phys. Lett. A **373** (2009) 1001, arXiv:0803.1293.
- [63] C. Burdik and A. Nersessian, SIGMA **5** (2009) 004, arXiv:0901.1644.
- [64] U. Niederer, Hel. Phys. Acta **46** (1973) 191.
- [65] C. Burdet, C. Duval, M. Perrin, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 255.